YUGATO

ISSN: 0387-5695, eISSN: 0387-5695
Vol. 76 No. 1 (2024)

Received: January 22, 2023 / Revised: February 17, 2023 / Accepted: March 31, 2024 / Published: April 24, 2024

ECOLOGICAL IMPLICATIONS OF BIODIVERSITY DECLINE: UNRAVELING THE FAR-REACHING EFFECTS

Dr. Shipra Gupta[1]*, Dr. Indu Bharti Jain[2], Ms. Pratibha Verma[3], Ms. Aanchal Beri[4], Ms. Aanchal[5] & Ms. Divya Singal[6]

[1]*Professor, Department of Law, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana-Ambala

[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana-Ambala

[3]Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana-Ambala

[4]Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana-Ambala

[5]Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana-Ambala

[6]Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana-Ambala

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Shipra Gupta

*Professor, Department of Law, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana-Ambala

Abstract

Loss of biodiversity, also known as loss of biodiversity, is a decline in the amount of different kinds of life that exist within a population, an ecosystem, a particular region of the world, or the planet as a whole. Biodiversity, also known as biological variety, is a phrase that refers to the number of genes, species, individual creatures within a particular species, and biological communities that are located within a certain geographical region. This may range from the smallest ecosystem all the way up to the global biosphere. (A biological community is an interacting group of various species in a common location.) Likewise, biodiversity loss describes the decline in the number, genetic variability, and variety of species, and the biological communities in a given area. This reduction in the diversity of life might result in a disruption in the normal processes that take place within an ecosystem that has seen a decrease.

Keywords: Bio - diversity, Eco -system, Species, Communities

INTRODUCTION

Loss of biodiversity, also known as loss of biodiversity, is a decline in the number of different kinds of life that exist within a population, an ecosystem, a particular region of the world, or the planet as a whole. Biodiversity, also known as biological variety, is a phrase that refers to the number of genes, species, individual creatures within a particular species, and biological communities that are located within a certain geographical region. This may range from the smallest ecosystem all the way up to the global biosphere. (A biological community is an interacting group of various species in a common location.) Likewise, biodiversity loss describes the decline in

the number, genetic variability, and variety of species, and the biological communities in a given area. This reduction in the diversity of life might result in a disruption in the normal processes that take place within an ecosystem that has seen a decrease.

LOSS OF BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

The term "biodiversity" is most often understood to refer to "the count of species in an area," and as a result, "biodiversity loss" is sometimes interpreted to mean the disappearance of species from an ecosystem or even the whole biosphere (for more information, see the definition of "extinction"). However, if we just associate biodiversity loss with the disappearance of species, we are ignoring other more subtle processes that pose a risk to the health of ecosystems over the long run. It's possible that sudden population decreases might disrupt social systems in some species, making it difficult for surviving men and females to locate partners and leading to more population declines as a result. Inbreeding, which refers to the act of mating between people who are genetically related to one another, may become more prevalent if genetic variety decreases with significant population declines. This may result in an even lower genetic diversity

ENRIQUE SALMÓN DISCUSSES THE DANGER POSED BY THE LOSS OF BIODIVERSITY

As a species population decreases, its niche, or the function it plays in the ecosystems that it occupies, becomes less important. A niche is the role that a species plays in the ecosystems that it inhabits. If the ecological roles that are played by a single species or a group of species are essential to the smooth operation of the ecosystem, then a rapid drop in their population might result in major changes in the composition of the ecosystem. When, for instance, trees are cut down in a forest, the ecosystem loses the services they give, such as protection from the sun, control of temperature and humidity, the provision of habitat for animals, and the delivery of nutrients.

THE NATURAL DEPLETION OF BIODIVERSITY

The natural cycles of a place cause fluctuations, both increases and losses, in the area's biodiversity. The beginning of spring, for example, ushers in new chances for feeding and breeding, both of which contribute to an increase in biodiversity as a result of the subsequent growth in population of several species. On the other hand, the arrival of winter causes a temporary drop in the amount of biodiversity found in a region because warm-adapted insects perish and migratory animals depart. Additionally, the rise and fall of seasonal plant and invertebrate populations (such as insects and plankton), which serve as food for other kinds of life, are also factors that impact the biodiversity of a region.

Loss of biodiversity is usually accompanied by more long-lasting biological shifts in ecosystems, landscapes, and the global biosphere. Natural ecological disturbances such as wildfires, floods, and volcanic eruptions may have a significant impact on ecosystems by eradicating small populations of certain species and altering the biological communities as a whole. However, the effects of these disruptions are only brief since natural disturbances are rather regular, and ecosystems have learned to adapt to the difficulties they provide (for more information, see ecological succession).

HUMAN-DRIVEN BIODIVERSITY LOSS

On the other hand, the effects of disruptions brought about by people are often more severe and last for a longer period of time. An ever-increasing proportion of the land area on Earth is occupied by human beings (Homo sapiens), their crops, and the animals they eat. One half of the world's livable land, which is about 51 million square kilometers (19.7 million square miles), has been turned into agricultural land, and around 77 percent of agricultural land, which is approximately 40 million square kilometers (15.4 million square miles), is used for grazing by livestock such as cattle, sheep, goats, and other animals. This massive conversion of forests, wetlands, grasslands, and other terrestrial ecosystems has resulted in a decline of approximately 60 percent (on average) in the number of vertebrates worldwide since 1970. The greatest losses in vertebrate populations have occurred in freshwater habitats (83 percent), as well as in South and Central America (89 percent). Between the years 1970 and 2014, the total number of people on Earth increased from around 3.7 billion to 7.3 billion. By the year 2018, the combined biomass of people and their livestock, which was 0.16 gigaton, was far more than the biomass of wild animals, which was 0.007 gigaton, and the biomass of wild birds, which was 0.002 gigaton. According to estimates provided by researchers, the present pace of extinction of species is anywhere from one hundred to ten thousand times faster than the rate of natural background extinction, which ranges from around one to five species each year when the complete fossil record is included. In addition, according to a research published in 2019 by the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, up to one million different species of plants and animals are in danger of becoming extinct as a direct result of human activity.
Clearing of forests, filling in of wetlands, channeling and rerouting of streams, as well as the construction of roads and buildings, are often components of an organized effort that results in a significant shift in the biological trajectory of a landscape or a region. The efforts of human beings to locate and produce food, adapt the landscape to human habitation, and create opportunities for trade with other societies for the goals of developing wealth may modify the terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems that are used by growing human populations. This transformation may occur as a result of human beings' efforts to find and generate food. Losses in biodiversity are common when these activities are carried out.
What are the many categories of species that have become extinct?

The following five key factors have been highlighted by researchers as crucial contributors to the loss of biodiversity:
• Loss of habitat and degradation, which may be defined as the thinning out, fragmentation, or complete destruction of an existing natural environment, lessens or eliminates the available food supplies and dwelling space for the majority of species. Species that are unable to move often go extinct.
• Invasive species, which are non-native species that considerably change or disrupt the ecosystems they colonize, may outcompete native species for food and habitat, which leads to population decreases in native species. Invasive species are defined as non-native species that have the potential to drastically modify or disrupt the ecosystems they colonize. Both natural migration and the deliberate introduction of exotic species by humans may result in the spread of invasive species to new places.
• Overexploitation, which is the harvesting of game animals, fish, or other creatures beyond the ability for surviving populations to replenish their losses, leads in certain species having their numbers reduced to extremely low levels, while other species are pushed to extinction as a direct consequence of this practice.

• Pollution, which is the addition of any material or any kind of energy to the environment at a pace faster than it can be dispersed, diluted, decomposed, recycled, or stored in some innocuous form, contributes to the loss of biodiversity by causing health issues in species that are exposed to it. Pollution may be defined as the addition of any substance or any type of energy to the environment. In extreme instances, individuals may be exposed to levels of the toxin that are high enough to cause instantaneous death or reproductive issues that put the species at risk of extinction.
• Climate change related with global warming, which is the modification of Earth's climate caused by the burning of fossil fuels, is caused by industry as well as other human activities. Global warming is defined as the alteration of Earth's temperature caused by the burning of fossil fuels. Combustion of fossil fuels results in the production of greenhouse gases, which boost the atmosphere's capacity to absorb infrared radiation (heat energy) and retain that energy, so impacting both the temperature and the pattern of precipitation.

Ecologists acknowledge that climate change could become a primary driver of biodiversity loss as the 21st century progresses, but they emphasize that habitat loss and invasive species are the primary drivers of biodiversity loss. Habitat loss typically results from the conversion of forests, wetlands, grasslands, and other natural areas to urban and agricultural uses. Invasive species typically come from outside their native range. The species' tolerance limits and the mechanisms that regulate the cycling of nutrients are adapted in an ecosystem to the current patterns of temperature and precipitation. It's possible that certain species won't be able to adapt to the changes in their habitat brought on by global warming. These changes may also give new chances for invasive species, which might further add to the stressors that are already being placed on species that are trying to adapt to changing environmental circumstances. The ever-increasing human population and the ever-increasing demand for natural resources are two key factors that have a significant impact on each of the five drives.
The rate of biodiversity loss is sped up by interactions between two or more of the factors that are driving it. In general, fragmented ecosystems are not as robust as continuous ones, and areas that have been clear-cut for agricultural purposes, highways, or residential use create pathways for invasions by non-native species, which lead to further decreases in native species. Loss of habitat, in conjunction with pressure from hunting, is hastening the demise of numerous well-known species, one of which is the Bornean orangutan (Pongo pygmaeus), which may become extinct by the middle of the 21st century. Between 1971 and 2011, hunters murdered 2,000–3,000 Bornean Orangutans year, and the destruction of enormous sections of tropical forest in Indonesia and Malaysia for the production of oil palm (*Elaeis Guineensis*) constituted an additional barrier to the survival of the species. The production of palm oil surged by 900 percent in Indonesia and Malaysia between 1980 and 2010, and as a result, vast portions of Borneo's tropical forests have been cleared, depriving the Bornean orangutan and anywhere from hundreds to thousands of other species of their home.

ENVIRONMENTAL REPERCUSSIONS

The weight of the loss of biodiversity is felt most strongly by populations of species that are experiencing a decline. The loss of genes and people poses a hazard to the long-term survival of a species because it reduces the number of potential mates for the remaining individuals and increases the likelihood of inbreeding among those individuals who are genetically related. The annihilation of whole populations raises the stakes for a species in terms of the possibility that it may eventually go extinct.

The conservation of biodiversity is essential to the health of ecosystems. A decrease in an ecosystem's biodiversity has the effect of lowering both its productivity (the quantity of food energy that is transformed into the biomass) and the quality of the services that an ecosystem provides (which often include things like maintaining the soil, purifying the water that goes through it, and providing food and shade, among other things).

Loss of biodiversity poses a hazard not only to the composition of the ecosystem but also to its healthy operation. Although all ecosystems are able to adapt to the pressures associated with declines in biodiversity to some degree, the loss of biodiversity diminishes the complexity of an ecosystem. This is because roles that were formerly filled by several interacting species or numerous interacting people are now represented by fewer or none of these actors. When components of an ecosystem are removed, that system's capacity to bounce back after a disturbance (also known as ecological resilience) decreases. When a certain number of species are lost or their numbers drop below a certain threshold, the ecosystem is in risk of becoming unstable and eventually collapsing. That is to say, it ceases to be what it was (e.g., a tropical forest, a temperate swamp, an Arctic meadow, etc.) and undergoes a quick reorganization, becoming something else (that is, farmland, a residential subdivision or other urban ecosystem, barren wasteland, etc.). In other words, it transforms from what it was into something different.

The loss of biodiversity also leads to a "ecosystem homogenization" that occurs not just across areas but also throughout the whole biosphere. When environmental circumstances change, specialist species, also known as those that have evolved to particular environmental conditions, constrained habitats, limited food supplies, or other unique environmental constraints, are often the most susceptible to significant population losses and even extinction. On the other hand, generalist species, which are species that have adapted to a broad range of habitats, food supplies, and environmental conditions, as well as species that are favored by human beings (such as cattle, pets, crops, and decorative plants), become the primary actors in ecosystems that have been deserted by specialized species. Each of the ecosystems in the region loses a certain amount of complexity and distinctiveness as a result of the increasing similarity in the structure of their food chains and the processes by which they cycle nutrients. This is because the extinction of specialist species and unique species, in addition to their interactions with other species, occurs across a large area.

THE IMPACT ON BOTH THE ECONOMY AND SOCIETY

The loss of biodiversity has repercussions for both human civilization and economic systems. The availability of many plants, animals, and other species as commodities is significant to many different civilizations since humans depend on them for food, construction materials, and medicines. The loss of biodiversity among these essential natural resources poses a danger to the food security of the whole world as well as the creation of novel drugs to treat illnesses that will emerge in the future. The loss of aesthetic value may also be associated with the simplification and homogenization of ecosystems.

It's possible that economic shortages among popular food crops may be more visible than biodiversity losses in ecosystems and landscapes that are geographically far from global markets. For instance, Cavendish bananas are the most frequent type that is exported to countries that are not tropical. However, according to research conducted by experts, this variety's lack of genetic diversity renders it susceptible to Tropical Race (TR) 4, a fungus that causes fusarium wilt and kills banana plants by obstructing the passage of water and nutrients through the plant. The Cavendish banana might go extinct if TR4 causes further disease outbreaks, according to the opinions of several experts. Since the year 1900, about 75% of the plant species used for food production have vanished,

mostly as a result of an over dependence on a few number of high-yield crop cultivars. This lack of heterogeneity among crops poses a concern to food security since different types of plants may be susceptible to different threats, such as diseases and pests, invading species, and changing climate. A same pattern may be seen in the production of animals, where breeds of cattle and poultry with higher production rates are favored over wilder types that have lower production rates.

Because conventional and alternative medicines may be generated from the compounds found in uncommon plants and animals, this means that possibilities to treat and cure have been lost due to the extinction of these species. For instance, numerous species of the fungus Bradypus variegatus, which are found on the hairs of three-toed sloths, generate drugs that are effective against the parasites that cause malaria (Plasmodium falciparum) and Chagas disease (Trypanosoma cruzi), as well as against human breast cancer.

REMEDY FOR THE DETERIORATION OF BIODIVERSITY

The conservation issues that are brought about by the underlying factors are closely connected to the fight against the loss of biodiversity. Biologists that specialize in conservation highlight that these issues may be resolved by a combination of public policy and economic solutions, with ongoing monitoring and education providing further support. It is imperative that governments, non-governmental organizations, and the scientific community collaborate in order to develop policies that incentivize the preservation of natural habitats and the protection of the species that live within those habitats from unwarranted harvesting, as well as policies that disincentivize behavior that leads to the destruction and loss of habitat. When constructing more farmland and living places for humans, sustainable development, which is an economic planning approach that attempts to stimulate expansion while protecting environmental integrity, must be taken into consideration. The laws that are in place to prohibit the illegal trade in wildlife as well as poaching need to be strengthened and upheld. At ports, the materials used for shipping are subject to inspection to look for stowaway organisms.

However, conservation biologists are in agreement that the most effective way to prevent further biodiversity loss is to protect the remaining species from overhunting and overfishing and to keep their habitats and the ecosystems they rely on intact and secure from species invasions and land use conversion. Developing and implementing solutions for each of these causes of biodiversity loss will relieve the pressure on species and ecosystems in their own unique way. The efforts that monitor the status of individual species, such as the Red List of Threatened Species from the International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources (IUCN) and the United States Endangered Species list, continue to be essential tools that assist decision makers in prioritizing conservation efforts. In addition, a number of regions that are particularly abundant in rare species and that have the potential to become top conservation targets have been identified. These "hot spots" are areas of high endemism, which means that the species that are present there cannot be found anyplace else on Earth. To a considerably greater extent than in ecosystems located closer to the poles, species richness and biodiversity are substantially higher in tropical habitats, which are the typical location of ecological hot spots.

It is very necessary for the governments of the world to work together in order to preserve biodiversity. In accordance with the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), a number of different national governments have set aside certain areas of their respective territories for preservation. At the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) conference that took place in Nagoya, Japan, in October 2010, a set of twenty biodiversity targets known as the Aichi Biodiversity Targets was revealed. The goal of the list was to enhance the amount of biodiversity

that was protected by the year 2020 and to make concerns pertaining to biodiversity more mainstream in both economic markets and society in general. Since 2010, 164 nations have made strategies to accomplish those goals. One of the most important goals on the list was to preserve at least 10 percent of coastal and marine habitats in addition to at least 17 percent of land and inland waterways. In addition to the 14.9 percent of land areas that were protected as of January 2019, around 7.5 percent of the world's seas (which includes 17.3 percent of the marine environment in national waters) were also designated as protected areas by different national governments.

HOW TO MAKE THE FUTURE MORE ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY

In spite of the fact that many other behaviors, such as political corruption, social injustice, the weapons race, and wasteful government spending, are brought up as potential obstacles to sustainability, environmental concerns continue to be the primary focus of the debate. Naturally, there is a lot of disagreement on what exactly contributes to environmental sustainability and what doesn't. There are a variety of approaches that have been proposed, ranging from a mild "greening" of existing social institutions to a dramatic overhaul of the existing order of global politics and economics. A step-by-step adjustment toward sustainability is dependent on actions taken by the government to direct output and consumption into channels that are less harmful to the environment. This necessitates a revamping of industrial and agricultural procedures, a change in how land is used, and a modification in family consumption patterns. The extraction of nonrenewable resources should be done at rates that allow for an ordered transition to alternatives; the emission of waste and toxic substances must remain within the assimilative capacities of natural systems; and more stringent measures need to be taken in order to preserve species, habitats, and ecosystems. It is of the utmost significance that attempts to attain sustainability prioritize the management of long-term environmental problems such as climate change and the loss of biodiversity.

A wide variety of policy tools, such as legislation, fiscal instruments, negotiated agreements, and informational tools, are all available to governments as potential avenues for bringing about the desired changes. However, it is difficult to find solutions to many issues since the behaviors that are causing the problems (which are not sustainable) are often tied to deeply ingrained habits and limits and are backed by preexisting conceptions of values and interests.

There are also a lot of very diverse perspectives about sustainability. Some environmentalists believe that genuine sustainability can only be achieved in communities on a smaller scale, where people may have a closer relationship with the natural cycles and processes that they inhabit. The destructive practices of industrial civilization, according to this point of view, must give way to an alternative form of existence in which people "walk lightly" on the world and harmonize their activities with natural cycles. Even while there are some radical environmentalists who are open to the idea of a high-tech postindustrial civilization, there still has to be a clean break with the economic practices and power structures that are in place now.

INVESTIGATING SUSTAINABILITY THEORIES

The discussion of sustainability within the realm of academics has included a wide variety of points of view. There have been periods when economic experts have characterized the notion in terms of non declining per capita income flows throughout time, or long-term economic development, with minimum environmental repercussions. They have also discussed how to preserve the capital endowments that are required to support such income flows. The interchangeability of natural and human-made capital is a contentious issue that has split proponents of weak and strong sustainability. Proponents of weak sustainability argue that the two different types of capital can be used interchangeably for the most part, whereas proponents of strong sustainability insist that natural capital is becoming the scarcest factor of production. Furthermore, ecosystem services, which include the supply of clean water and the pollination of crops, are often neglected components of natural capital that have to be factored into debates of the economic viability of sustainability.

The study of sustainability has often been approached by ecologists and systems theorists in terms of the interdependencies of physical systems, the flows of energy, and the dynamics of population. They have placed an emphasis on the design characteristics that are most suited for the long-term survival of social systems. These characteristics include robustness, resilience, redundancy, and adaptability. For their part, political analysts have focused on the moral and ideological implications of sustainability, as well as the nature of green political initiatives and the execution of public policy.

References:

1. https://www.britannica.com/science/biodiversity-loss
2. An Advanced Text Book on Bio Diversity Principles and Practice, KV Krishnamurthy, Oxford & IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd, Ed. 2018.
3. Environment and Ecology, Anand. McGraw Hill,1st edition 2020.
4. An Introduction to Bio Diversity, Dr. Prithipal Singh, Ane Books.
5. Textbook of Bio -Diversity, Krishnamurthy, KV, Taylor and Francis, 2005.