

Received: October 16, 2023 / Revised: November 11, 2023 / Accepted: December 09, 2023 / Published: December 30, 2023

OVERALL STUDY ABOUT CHANGE MANAGEMENT IN AN ORGANISATION

Ms.V.Pavithra

Assistant Professor,Department of Commerce,Rathinam College of arts and science Coimbatore-21,Email:pavithra.cm@rathinam.in

Mrs.S.Vasundharadevi

Assistant Professor,Department of Commerce,Rathinam College of arts and science Coimbatore-21,Email:vasundhara.cm@rathinam.in

Ms.MAnitha

Assistant Professor,Department of Commerce,Rathinam College of arts and science Coimbatore-21

Mr.B. S. Godwin Thangaraja

II-M. Com, Department of Commerce,Rathinam College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore-21

Ms.B.Madhumathi

II-M. Com, Department of Commerce, Rathinam College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore-21

Ms.A.K.Lalithapriya

II-M. Com, Department of Commerce, Rathinam College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore-21

Abstract

Changes are inevitable in all areas. One can't survive without making changes in their life. Like that the management area also changes. Modern era makes lot of changes in management sector. New paradigm will be there in the management sector too. Actually in an organisation the changes don't happen naturally, they are intentionally making changes in the management. Most of them are positive to it .While a change take place resistance is a usual action of human beings. "Change management" is the concept that emerged for change resistance and guide community towards successfully implementing a change in management. They are the methods that are developed by the organizational leaders and sociologist to help the employees to equip with the changes and transformation in the organisation. Transitional shifts and development shifts happen through the use of change management. Clearly understanding the change management in an organisation, the company can leverage best practises, tactics and strategies for any operations for fulfilling the objectives of the organisation. All changes are not suitable for all organisations. Studying of each of the models help to know which is suitable for which organisation. Selection of correct and evident models is a major important challenge faced by the organization. In this chapter we are discussing the change management and the importance of changes management in the entity of management and also the problems faced by the organisation

Keywords: Paradigm, changes, benefits, problems, Management, organisation

Introduction



All the articles published by Chelonian Conservation and Biology are licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) Based on a work at <https://www.yugato.org/>

Change management is a word which contains two words change and management. It is the changes in the management of the organisation. Changes is inevitable in the for the existence of the organisation. It is not a natural process actually change management is the process that deliberately done by the management level in the organisation. Environment is dynamic so that changes have to be done by the organisation. Main purpose of the change management is to implement strategies for the efficient work of the organisation. It is an efficient weapon that the organisation uses to make replacement or to make changes in the existing structure or process in the organisation

Change management is a new paradigm which paved way for the formation of change management model. Before applying change management the organisation need to have the clear picture about the shifts in the organisation. Since each change request must be assessed for its potential influence on the project, change management is crucial to project management. Senior executives in charge of change control, such as project managers, must consider the potential effects of a change in one part of the project on other areas as well as the overall project. There are different elements for change management

5c's of change management

Change management is came into existence in a wide mode at the time of covid-19. Many changes have been taken place in the management of the organisation and new tactics and logistics have come in to existence. So for the success of organisation 5'c have to be fulfilled. The 5'c are

- Conviction
The organisation needs to have a clear picture about the changes needed in the organisation. The employees have to make sure that the best move is taken to refill the change.
- Courage
It's already mentioned that change management is not formed naturally. Its deliberate action of human being. So for taking that action courage is needed.
- Culture
Culture is an important one change management. Changes in the management have to be satisfied with the culture where the management lives. If the change suits the culture the people will trust about the change
- Communication
Communication of change to its people is very important one. Resisting against the change is an normal action of human beings. The intensity of the resistance is on the basis of effective communication. If the communication is clear and evident the resistance wipe out
- Compassion
Staff members will progress from the experiment stage of the learning curve to the decision stage, where they will be fully engaged in the new reality and focused on the future, through communication to inspire motivation and build alignment.

7 R's of Change Management

These seven queries need to be addressed in order to conduct an accurate effect assessment and comprehend the advantages of risk.

- Who SUGGESTED the change?
- Why is the change being made?

- What return from the change is necessary?
 - What risks are associated with the change?
 - What RESOURCES are necessary to bring about the change?
 - Who is RESPONSIBLE for developing, testing, and putting the modification into effect?
- What connection does this modification have to other changes?

Change management models

Change management model are the methodologies that used by the organisations for its survival in changing situation. Concepts, theories, and approaches used in change management models offer an in-depth perspective on organisational transformation. They are intended to serve as a manual for implementing changes, navigating the transformational process, and guaranteeing that changes are accepted and put into action. Change management model are the methodologies that used by the organisations for its survival in changing situation. Mainly there are ten change management models

- Lewin's Change Management Model
- McKinsey 7-S Model
- Nudge Theory
- The ADKAR Change Management Model
- Kübler-Ross Change Curve
- Bridges' Transition Model
- Satir Change Model
- Kotter's 8-Step Theory
- Maurer 3 Levels of Resistance and Change Model
- Deming Cycle (PDCA)

Statement of the problem

Change is inevitable in every area so there are is a new era of change management formed in organisation. In this book chapter we are discussing about the role ,importance and challenges of change management in every sector especially in an organisation .

Importance Of Change Management Model

In modern era change management have a great importance. But the importance will become important on the basis on which and how the change management acts. Project management concentrates on delivering the "product side" of things, whereas change management concentrates on the "people side" of change.

1. Inevitable

Change is inevitable.No one can be consistent in their entire life. Beacause the things around are changing. According to the changes around us we aso need to change. Change management give a guideline to which direction we need to move and what all actions we need to take in to consideration

2. Strengthen adaptability mechanism

Change management helps to modify the plans and process in the activities for new responsibility and opportunity. Understanding what constitutes change within your organisation and how it impacts your business is the first step in successfully managing change. This could be due to modifications in the legislation

or rules (such as the GDPR), modifications in consumer preferences (such as an increase in online purchasing), or modifications in technology.

3. Mitigate resource related risk

Change management manages all criteria for the change .So the coordination and control of the resources is also possible through the change management. In today’s world the scarcity of resources is a problem facing. So the efficient change management helps us to make allocate the right thing in the right place.So the risk of resources can be reduced.

4. Predict change in customer needs.

By anticipating changes in customer requirements and behaviours, change management may help you stay aware of new ways to meet their desires. It can also assist your team in creating strategies for understanding these shifting needs earlier than your rivals.

5. Digital transformation

Digital technology has become an essential component of our daily lives and enterprises. Organisations now have to constantly adapt and change to satisfy the needs of their partners, employees, and customers as a result of the digital age.

Organisations that have recognised the value of organisational change management and accepted this new reality are succeeding in the marketplace and improving communications and employee happiness. They also benefit from improved market responsiveness, quicker time to market for new goods and services, lower costs, and more productivity.

6. Improve and optimise business process

Change management makes effort to change all the process in the organisation. It will plan and coordinate all the process that was needed for the changes. So the process in the organisation optimises and also the improvement of organisation takes place to existence.

7. Increase employee engagement and participation

Communication has an important role in change management. All the employees who are directly or indirectly part in the organisation have to be there in the changing process.So it increase employee engagement and participation.

8. Pave way for innovation.

The word change literally makes innovation. Innovation takes place only when there are changes. If change is taking places surely the change makes innovation. So change management also make innovation through it process. An organization's adaptability is also required for embracing new ideas that have the potential to revolutionise the sector. An organization's openness will enable it to recognise these novel concepts, which can then be swiftly put into practise to advance the business into the twenty-first century and beyond productivity.

Challenges of Change management

The following are the biggest challenges encountered while handling organisational change:

- Monitoring the status of a project
- Evaluating change management initiatives
- Employees' Willingness to Change Incorrect Planning
- Inadequate resourcing and buy-in from change management

A. Monitoring the status of the project

To understand the overall performance of a change management project, you must track its development at each stage. A thorough understanding of the project's health throughout time can help the organisation discover areas that need to be improved to get better results.

B. Assessing change management initiatives

Change management plan improvements and updates must be done in real time by regularly analysing your change management activities. Continuous improvements will lead to higher adoption rates, which will compound the benefits.

C. Employees' Willingness to Change

The most difficult change management issue or task is convincing your employees to accept the change and align with senior management in order to anticipate its long-term benefits.

Senior management must effectively convey the transformation process to employees and make them aware of the benefits.

D. Improper Planning

Inadequate change management project planning may lead to its failure.

To avoid this, proper planning must be completed prior to implementing the modification.

Higher management must remain vigilant and handle conflicts among employees by identifying their wants and expectations.

E. Inadequate resourcing and buy-in from change management

Managing change is not a task that can be performed with the help of only a few personnel. In order for change management to be accepted and embraced, it must be resourced according to the scope and size of the project.

Without sufficient assistance and advice, it can be tough for your employees to overcome change management problems. Through successful communication, change leaders must have the skills to support their staff at the proper moment and dedicate them to responsibilities.

Having a majority of people on your side will assist you in removing the main point of friction between the current state of your firm and a future in which the change is effectively accomplished.

CONCLUSION

There is a proverb that a coin has both sides like that change management also contains both sides. The result of change management is based on how we approach it. If we are approaching in different aspects all the pyramid that we made earlier will collapse. So definitely keen study about the change and need of change have to be studied by the applier of the change management. Change does not happen overnight. It will take multiple repetitions for a new process or software to become second nature to end users. The forgetting curve is one of the most difficult difficulties in both training and change management.

Understanding the most typical challenges that practitioners experience during change can help you anticipate and avoid bottlenecks as you embark on your path to change success. When you watch A Smorgasbord of Insights From Prosci's Latest Best Practises, you'll get even more research insights, including the top contributors to change success.

Humans are social creatures who learn from their peers. This is especially true in times of crisis or transition. For decades, the influencer notion, which is popular in social media marketing, has been central to change management techniques. To carry out transformation projects, executive sponsors should carefully pick top-

performing and well-connected workers with input from all department heads. These designated leaders, or "change champions," should have crucial interpersonal skills as well as be results-oriented, making them the ideal candidates to urge less-than-inspired colleagues to become involved in change efforts.

The downside here is that devoting high performers to change management might divert attention away from daily obligations. Organisations should exercise caution when dedicating resource time to change management operations in order to maximise the return on effort invested.

Reference

- Aepfel, Timothy. 2017. "AI & Machine Learning Disruption Timeline Conference." MIT IDE Conference Report, vol. 2017.3, http://ide.mit.edu/sites/default/files/publications/IDE%20Research%20Brief_v317.pdf.
- Barley, Stephen R., and Pamela S. Tolbert. 1997. "Institutionalization and Structuration: Studying the Links between Action and Institution." *Organization Studies* 18 (1): 93–117.
- Barnett, William P., and Glenn R. Carroll. 1995. "Modeling Internal Organizational Change." *Annual Review of Sociology* 21: 217–236.
- Barr, Pamela S., J. L. Stimpert, and Anne Huff. 1992. "Cognitive Change, Strategic Action, and Organizational Renewal." *Strategic Management Journal* 13 (51): 15–36.
- Bird, Barbara. 1988. "Implementing Entrepreneurial Ideas. The Case for Intention." *Academy of Management Review* 13 (3): 442–453. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1988.4306970>.
- Bowen, John, and Cristian Morosan. 2018. "Beware Hospitality Industry: the Robots are coming." *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes* 10 (6): 726–733.
- Boyd, Nancy G., and George S. Vozikis. 1994. "The Influence of Self-Efficacy on the Development of Entrepreneurial Intentions and Actions." *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 18 (4): 63–77. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F104225879401800404>.
- Brown, Shona L., and Kathleen M. Eisenhardt. 1997. "The Art of Continuous Change: Linking Complexity Theory and Time-Paced Evolution in Relentlessly Shifting Organizations." *Administrative Science Quarterly* 42 (1): 1–34.
- Buddhadasa, Tarn Ajan. 1993. "Atammayata: The Rebirth of a Lost Word." *Kevala Retreat*. <https://www.liberationpark.org/arts/other/atmrebirth.htm>.
- Burchell, Stuart, Colin Clubb, Anthony Hopwood, John Hughes, and Janine Nahapiet. 1980. "The Roles of Accounting in Organizations and Society." *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 5 (1): 5–27.
- Carruthers, Bruce G., and Wendy Nelson Espeland. 1991. "Accounting for Rationality: Double-Entry Bookkeeping and the Rhetoric of Economic Rationality." *The American Journal of Sociology* 97 (1): 31–69.
- Cascio, Wayne F. 1995. "Whither Industrial and Organizational Psychology in a Changing World of Work?" *American Psychologist* 50: 928–939.
- Cummings, Thomas G., and Christopher G. Worley. 2009. *Organization Development & Change*. Mason, OH: South-Western Cengage Learning.
- DeShon, Richard P., and Jennifer Z. Gillespie. 2005. "A Motivated Action Theory Account of

- Goal Orientation.” *Journal of Applied Psychology* 90 (6): 1096–1127.
- Doz, Yves L., and Mikko Kosonen. 2010. “Embedding Strategic Agility: A Leadership Agenda for Accelerating Business Model Renewal.” *Long Range Planning* 43: 370–382.
- Dunphy, Dexter. 1996. “Organizational Change in Corporate Settings.” *Human Relations* 49(5): 541–552.
- Erwin, Dennis G., and Andrew N. Garman. 2010. “Resistance to Organizational Change: Linking Research and Practice.” *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 31 (1): 39–56.
- Feldman, Martha S., and Brian T. Pentland. 2003. “Reconceptualizing Organizational Routines as a Source of Flexibility and Change.” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 48: 94–118.
- Fishbein, Martin, and Icek Ajzen. 1975. *Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Ford, Jeffrey D. 1999. “Organizational Change as Shifting Conversations.” *Journal of Organizational Change Management* 12 (6): 480–500.
- Aepfel, Timothy. 2017. “AI & Machine Learning Disruption Timeline Conference.” MIT IDE Conference Report, vol. 2017.3, http://ide.mit.edu/sites/default/files/publications/IDE%20Research%20Brief_v317.pdf.
- Barley, Stephen R., and Pamela S. Tolbert. 1997. “Institutionalization and Structuration: Studying the Links between Action and Institution.” *Organization Studies* 18 (1): 93–117.
- Barnett, William P., and Glenn R. Carroll. 1995. “Modeling Internal Organizational Change.” *Annual Review of Sociology* 21: 217–236.
- Barr, Pamela S., J. L. Stimpert, and Anne Huff. 1992. “Cognitive Change, Strategic Action, and Organizational Renewal.” *Strategic Management Journal* 13 (51): 15–36.
- Bird, Barbara. 1988. “Implementing Entrepreneurial Ideas. The Case for Intention.” *Academy of Management Review* 13 (3): 442–453. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1988.4306970>.
- Bowen, John, and Cristian Morosan. 2018. “Beware Hospitality Industry: the Robots are coming.” *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes* 10 (6): 726–733.
- Boyd, Nancy G., and George S. Vozikis. 1994. “The Influence of Self-Efficacy on the Development of Entrepreneurial Intentions and Actions.” *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 18 (4): 63–77. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F104225879401800404>.
- Brown, Shona L., and Kathleen M. Eisenhardt. 1997. “The Art of Continuous Change: Linking Complexity Theory and Time-Paced Evolution in Relentlessly Shifting Organizations.” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 42 (1): 1–34.
- Buddhadasa, Tarn Ajan. 1993. “Atammayata: The Rebirth of a Lost Word.” *Kevala Retreat*. <https://www.liberationpark.org/arts/other/atmrebirth.htm>.
- Burchell, Stuart, Colin Clubb, Anthony Hopwood, John Hughes, and Janine Nahapiet. 1980. “The Roles of Accounting in Organizations and Society.” *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 5 (1): 5–27.
- Carruthers, Bruce G., and Wendy Nelson Espeland. 1991. “Accounting for Rationality: Double-

- Entry Bookkeeping and the Rhetoric of Economic Rationality.” *The American Journal of Sociology* 97 (1): 31–69.
- Cascio, Wayne F. 1995. “Whither Industrial and Organizational Psychology in a Changing World of Work?” *American Psychologist* 50: 928–939.
- Cummings, Thomas G., and Christopher G. Worley. 2009. *Organization Development & Change*. Mason, OH: South-Western Cengage Learning.
- DeShon, Richard P., and Jennifer Z. Gillespie. 2005. “A Motivated Action Theory Account of Goal Orientation.” *Journal of Applied Psychology* 90 (6): 1096–1127.
- Doz, Yves L., and Mikko Kosonen. 2010. “Embedding Strategic Agility: A Leadership Agenda for Accelerating Business Model Renewal.” *Long Range Planning* 43: 370–382.
- Dunphy, Dexter. 1996. “Organizational Change in Corporate Settings.” *Human Relations* 49(5): 541–552.
- Erwin, Dennis G., and Andrew N. Garman. 2010. “Resistance to Organizational Change: Linking Research and Practice.” *Leadership & Organization Development Journal* 31 (1): 39–56.
- Feldman, Martha S., and Brian T. Pentland. 2003. “Reconceptualizing Organizational Routines as a Source of Flexibility and Change.” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 48: 94–118.
- Fishbein, Martin, and Icek Ajzen. 1975. *Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Ford, Jeffrey D. 1999. “Organizational Change as Shifting Conversations.” *Journal of Organizational Change Management* 12 (6): 480–500.
- Aepfel, Timothy. 2017. “AI & Machine Learning Disruption Timeline Conference.” MIT IDE Conference Report, vol. 2017.3, http://ide.mit.edu/sites/default/files/publications/IDE%20Research%20Brief_v317.pdf.
- Barley, Stephen R., and Pamela S. Tolbert. 1997. “Institutionalization and Structuration: Studying the Links between Action and Institution.” *Organization Studies* 18 (1): 93–117.
- Barnett, William P., and Glenn R. Carroll. 1995. “Modeling Internal Organizational Change.” *Annual Review of Sociology* 21: 217–236.
- Barr, Pamela S., J. L. Stimpert, and Anne Huff. 1992. “Cognitive Change, Strategic Action, and Organizational Renewal.” *Strategic Management Journal* 13 (51): 15–36.
- Bird, Barbara. 1988. “Implementing Entrepreneurial Ideas. The Case for Intention.” *Academy of Management Review* 13 (3): 442–453. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1988.4306970>.
- Bowen, John, and Cristian Morosan. 2018. “Beware Hospitality Industry: the Robots are coming.” *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes* 10 (6): 726–733.
- Boyd, Nancy G., and George S. Vozikis. 1994. “The Influence of Self-Efficacy on the Development of Entrepreneurial Intentions and Actions.” *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 18 (4): 63–77. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F104225879401800404>.
- Brown, Shona L., and Kathleen M. Eisenhardt. 1997. “The Art of Continuous Change: Linking Complexity Theory and Time-Paced Evolution in Relentlessly Shifting

- Organizations.” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 42 (1): 1–34.
- Buddhadasa, Tarn Ajan. 1993. “Atammayata: The Rebirth of a Lost Word.” Kevala Retreat. <https://www.liberationpark.org/arts/other/atmrebirth.htm>.
- Burchell, Stuart, Colin Clubb, Anthony Hopwood, John Hughes, and Janine Nahapiet. 1980. “The Roles of Accounting in Organizations and Society.” *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 5 (1): 5–27.
- Carruthers, Bruce G., and Wendy Nelson Espeland. 1991. “Accounting for Rationality: Double-Entry Bookkeeping and the Rhetoric of Economic Rationality.” *The American Journal of Sociology* 97 (1): 31–69.
- Cascio, Wayne F. 1995. “Whither Industrial and Organizational Psychology in a Changing World of Work?” *American Psychologist* 50: 928–939.
- Cummings, Thomas G., and Christopher G. Worley. 2009. *Organization Development & Change*. Mason, OH: South-Western Cengage Learning.
- DeShon, Richard P., and Jennifer Z. Gillespie. 2005. “A Motivated Actio
- Aepfel, Timothy. 2017. “AI & Machine Learning Disruption Timeline Conference.” MIT IDE Conference Report, vol. 2017.3, http://ide.mit.edu/sites/default/files/publications/IDE%20Research%20Brief_v317.pdf.
- Barley, Stephen R., and Pamela S. Tolbert. 1997. “Institutionalization and Structuration: Studying the Links between Action and Institution.” *Organization Studies* 18 (1): 93–117.
- Barnett, William P., and Glenn R. Carroll. 1995. “Modeling Internal Organizational Change.” *Annual Review of Sociology* 21: 217–236.
- Barr, Pamela S., J. L. Stimpert, and Anne Huff. 1992. “Cognitive Change, Strategic Action, and Organizational Renewal.” *Strategic Management Journal* 13 (51): 15–36.
- Bird, Barbara. 1988. “Implementing Entrepreneurial Ideas. The Case for Intention.” *Academy of Management Review* 13 (3): 442–453. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1988.4306970>.
- Bowen, John, and Cristian Morosan. 2018. “Beware Hospitality Industry: the Robots are coming.” *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes* 10 (6): 726–733.
- Boyd, Nancy G., and George S. Vozikis. 1994. “The Influence of Self-Efficacy on the Development of Entrepreneurial Intentions and Actions.” *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 18 (4): 63–77. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F104225879401800404>.
- Brown, Shona L., and Kathleen M. Eisenhardt. 1997. “The Art of Continuous Change: Linking Complexity Theory and Time-Paced Evolution in Relentlessly Shifting Organizations.” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 42 (1): 1–34.
- Buddhadasa, Tarn Ajan. 1993. “Atammayata: The Rebirth of a Lost Word.” Kevala Retreat. <https://www.liberationpark.org/arts/other/atmrebirth.htm>.
- Burchell, Stuart, Colin Clubb, Anthony Hopwood, John Hughes, and Janine Nahapiet. 1980. “The Roles of Accounting in Organizations and Society.” *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 5 (1): 5–27.
- Carruthers, Bruce G., and Wendy Nelson Espeland. 1991. “Accounting for Rationality: Double-

- Entry Bookkeeping and the Rhetoric of Economic Rationality.” *The American Journal of Sociology* 97 (1): 31–69.
- Cascio, Wayne F. 1995. “Whither Industrial and Organizational Psychology in a Changing World of Work?” *American Psychologist* 50: 928–939.
- Cummings, Thomas G., and Christopher G. Worley. 2009. *Organization Development & Change*. Mason, OH: South-Western Cengage Learning.
- DeShon, Richard P., and Jennifer Z. Gillespie. 2005. “A Motivated Actio
- Frese, Michael, and Dieter Zapf. 1994. “Action as the Core of Work Psychology: A German Approach.” *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 4: 271-340.
- Furman, Jason, and Robert Seamans. 2018. “AI and the Economy.” NBER working paper no. 24689. National Bureau of Economic Research. <http://www.nber.org/papers/w24689>.
- Gilley, Ann, Jerry W. Gilley, and Heather S. McMillan. 2009. “Organizational Change: Motivation, Communication, and Leadership Effectiveness.” *Performance Improvement Quarterly* 21 (4): 75–94.
- Grosz, Barbara J., and Sarit Kraus. 1996. “Collaborative Plans for Complex Group Action.” *Artificial Intelligence* 86: 269–357.
- Greenwood, Royston, and C. R. Hinings. 1996. “Understanding Radical Organizational Change: Bringing together the Old and the New Institutionalism.” *Academy of Management Review* 21 (4): 1022–1054.
- Haveman, Heather A. 1992. “Between A Rock and A Hard Place: Organizational Change and Performance under Conditions of Fundamental Environmental Transformation.” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 37 (1): 48–75.
- Frese, Michael, and Dieter Zapf. 1994. “Action as the Core of Work Psychology: A German Approach.” *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 4: 271-340.
- Furman, Jason, and Robert Seamans. 2018. “AI and the Economy.” NBER working paper no. 24689. National Bureau of Economic Research. <http://www.nber.org/papers/w24689>.
- Gilley, Ann, Jerry W. Gilley, and Heather S. McMillan. 2009. “Organizational Change: Motivation, Communication, and Leadership Effectiveness.” *Performance Improvement Quarterly* 21 (4): 75–94.
- Grosz, Barbara J., and Sarit Kraus. 1996. “Collaborative Plans for Complex Group Action.” *Artificial Intelligence* 86: 269–357.
- Greenwood, Royston, and C. R. Hinings. 1996. “Understanding Radical Organizational Change: Bringing together the Old and the New Institutionalism.” *Academy of Management Review* 21 (4): 1022–1054.
- Haveman, Heather A. 1992. “Between A Rock and A Hard Place: Organizational Change and Performance under Conditions of Fundamental Environmental Transformation.” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 37 (1): 48–75.
- Frese, Michael, and Dieter Zapf. 1994. “Action as the Core of Work Psychology: A German Approach.” *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 4: 271-340.
- Furman, Jason, and Robert Seamans. 2018. “AI and the Economy.” NBER working paper no.

24689. National Bureau of Economic Research. <http://www.nber.org/papers/w24689>.
- Gilley, Ann, Jerry W. Gilley, and Heather S. McMillan. 2009. "Organizational Change: Motivation, Communication, and Leadership Effectiveness." *Performance Improvement Quarterly* 21 (4): 75–94.
- Grosz, Barbara J., and Sarit Kraus. 1996. "Collaborative Plans for Complex Group Action." *Artificial Intelligence* 86: 269–357.
- Greenwood, Royston, and C. R. Hinings. 1996. "Understanding Radical Organizational Change: Bringing together the Old and the New Institutionalism." *Academy of Management Review* 21 (4): 1022–1054.
- Haveman, Heather A. 1992. "Between A Rock and A Hard Place: Organizational Change and Performance under Conditions of Fundamental Environmental Transformation." *Administrative Science Quarterly* 37 (1): 48–75.
- Frese, Michael, and Dieter Zapf. 1994. "Action as the Core of Work Psychology: A German Approach." *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 4: 271-340.
- Furman, Jason, and Robert Seamans. 2018. "AI and the Economy." NBER working paper no. 24689. National Bureau of Economic Research. <http://www.nber.org/papers/w24689>.
- Gilley, Ann, Jerry W. Gilley, and Heather S. McMillan. 2009. "Organizational Change: Motivation, Communication, and Leadership Effectiveness." *Performance Improvement Quarterly* 21 (4): 75–94.
- Grosz, Barbara J., and Sarit Kraus. 1996. "Collaborative Plans for Complex Group Action." *Artificial Intelligence* 86: 269–357.
- Greenwood, Royston, and C. R. Hinings. 1996. "Understanding Radical Organizational Change: Bringing together the Old and the New Institutionalism." *Academy of Management Review* 21 (4): 1022–1054.
- Haveman, Heather A. 1992. "Between A Rock and A Hard Place: Organizational Change and Performance under Conditions of Fundamental Environmental Transformation." *Administrative Science Quarterly* 37 (1): 48–75.
- Frese, Michael, and Dieter Zapf. 1994. "Action as the Core of Work Psychology: A German Approach." *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 4: 271-340.
- Furman, Jason, and Robert Seamans. 2018. "AI and the Economy." NBER working paper no. 24689. National Bureau of Economic Research. <http://www.nber.org/papers/w24689>.
- Gilley, Ann, Jerry W. Gilley, and Heather S. McMillan. 2009. "Organizational Change: Motivation, Communication, and Leadership Effectiveness." *Performance Improvement Quarterly* 21 (4): 75–94.
- Grosz, Barbara J., and Sarit Kraus. 1996. "Collaborative Plans for Complex Group Action." *Artificial Intelligence* 86: 269–357.
- Greenwood, Royston, and C. R. Hinings. 1996. "Understanding Radical Organizational Change: Bringing together the Old and the New Institutionalism." *Academy of Management Review* 21 (4): 1022–1054.
- Haveman, Heather A. 1992. "Between A Rock and A Hard Place: Organizational Change and

- Performance under Conditions of Fundamental Environmental Transformation.” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 37 (1): 48–75.
- Frese, Michael, and Dieter Zapf. 1994. “Action as the Core of Work Psychology: A German Approach.” *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 4: 271-340.
- Furman, Jason, and Robert Seamans. 2018. “AI and the Economy.” NBER working paper no. 24689. National Bureau of Economic Research. <http://www.nber.org/papers/w24689>.
- Gilley, Ann, Jerry W. Gilley, and Heather S. McMillan. 2009. “Organizational Change: Motivation, Communication, and Leadership Effectiveness.” *Performance Improvement Quarterly* 21 (4): 75–94.
- Grosz, Barbara J., and Sarit Kraus. 1996. “Collaborative Plans for Complex Group Action.” *Artificial Intelligence* 86: 269–357.
- Greenwood, Royston, and C. R. Hinings. 1996. “Understanding Radical Organizational Change: Bringing together the Old and the New Institutionalism.” *Academy of Management Review* 21 (4): 1022–1054.
- Haveman, Heather A. 1992. “Between A Rock and A Hard Place: Organizational Change and Performance under Conditions of Fundamental Environmental Transformation.” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 37 (1): 48–75.
- Aeppel, Timothy. 2017. “AI & Machine Learning Disruption Timeline Conference.” MIT IDE Conference Report, vol. 2017.3, http://ide.mit.edu/sites/default/files/publications/IDE%20Research%20Brief_v317.pdf.
- Barley, Stephen R., and Pamela S. Tolbert. 1997. “Institutionalization and Structuration: Studying the Links between Action and Institution.” *Organization Studies* 18 (1): 93–117.
- Barnett, William P., and Glenn R. Carroll. 1995. “Modeling Internal Organizational Change.” *Annual Review of Sociology* 21: 217–236.
- Barr, Pamela S., J. L. Stimpert, and Anne Huff. 1992. “Cognitive Change, Strategic Action, and Organizational Renewal.” *Strategic Management Journal* 13 (51): 15–36.
- Bird, Barbara. 1988. “Implementing Entrepreneurial Ideas. The Case for Intention.” *Academy of Management Review* 13 (3): 442–453. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1988.4306970>.
- Bowen, John, and Cristian Morosan. 2018. “Beware Hospitality Industry: the Robots are coming.” *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes* 10 (6): 726–733.
- Boyd, Nancy G., and George S. Vozikis. 1994. “The Influence of Self-Efficacy on the Development of Entrepreneurial Intentions and Actions.” *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice* 18 (4): 63–77. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F104225879401800404>.
- Brown, Shona L., and Kathleen M. Eisenhardt. 1997. “The Art of Continuous Change: Linking Complexity Theory and Time-Paced Evolution in Relentlessly Shifting Organizations.” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 42 (1): 1–34.
- Buddhadasa, Tarn Ajan. 1993. “Atammayata: The Rebirth of a Lost Word.” *Kevala Retreat*. <https://www.liberationpark.org/arts/other/atmrebirth.htm>.
- Burchell, Stuart, Colin Clubb, Anthony Hopwood, John Hughes, and Janine Nahapiet. 1980.

- “The Roles of Accounting in Organizations and Society.” *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 5 (1): 5–27.
- Carruthers, Bruce G., and Wendy Nelson Espeland. 1991. “Accounting for Rationality: Double-Entry Bookkeeping and the Rhetoric of Economic Rationality.” *The American Journal of Sociology* 97 (1): 31–69.
- Cascio, Wayne F. 1995. “Whither Industrial and Organizational Psychology in a Changing World of Work?” *American Psychologist* 50: 928–939.
- Cummings, Thomas G., and Christopher G. Worley. 2009. *Organization Development & Change*. Mason, OH: South-Western Cengage Learning.
- DeShon, Richard P., and Jennifer Z. Gillespie. 2005. “A Motivated Actio
- Frese, Michael, and Dieter Zapf. 1994. “Action as the Core of Work Psychology: A German Approach.” *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 4: 271-340.
- Furman, Jason, and Robert Seamans. 2018. “AI and the Economy.” NBER working paper no. 24689. National Bureau of Economic Research. <http://www.nber.org/papers/w24689>.
- Gilley, Ann, Jerry W. Gilley, and Heather S. McMillan. 2009. “Organizational Change: Motivation, Communication, and Leadership Effectiveness.” *Performance Improvement Quarterly* 21 (4): 75–94.
- Grosz, Barbara J., and Sarit Kraus. 1996. “Collaborative Plans for Complex Group Action.” *Artificial Intelligence* 86: 269–357.
- Greenwood, Royston, and C. R. Hinings. 1996. “Understanding Radical Organizational Change: Bringing together the Old and the New Institutionalism.” *Academy of Management Review* 21 (4): 1022–1054.
- Haveman, Heather A. 1992. “Between A Rock and A Hard Place: Organizational Change and Performance under Conditions of Fundamental Environmental Transformation.” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 37 (1): 48–75.
- Frese, Michael, and Dieter Zapf. 1994. “Action as the Core of Work Psychology: A German Approach.” *Handbook of Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 4: 271-340.
- Furman, Jason, and Robert Seamans. 2018. “AI and the Economy.” NBER working paper no. 24689. National Bureau of Economic Research. <http://www.nber.org/papers/w24689>.
- Gilley, Ann, Jerry W. Gilley, and Heather S. McMillan. 2009. “Organizational Change: Motivation, Communication, and Leadership Effectiveness.” *Performance Improvement Quarterly* 21 (4): 75–94.
- Grosz, Barbara J., and Sarit Kraus. 1996. “Collaborative Plans for Complex Group Action.” *Artificial Intelligence* 86: 269–357.
- Greenwood, Royston, and C. R. Hinings. 1996. “Understanding Radical Organizational Change: Bringing together the Old and the New Institutionalism.” *Academy of Management Review* 21 (4): 1022–1054.
- Haveman, Heather A. 1992. “Between A Rock and A Hard Place: Organizational Change and Performance under Conditions of Fundamental Environmental Transformation.” *Administrative Science Quarterly* 37 (1): 48–75.

1. Adam, B. (1995). *Timewatch: The social analysis of time*. Polity Press. [[Google Scholar](#)]
2. Alimadadi, S., Davies, A., & Tell, F. (2021). A palace fit for the future: Desirability in temporal work. *Strategic Organization*, *20*(1), <https://doi.org/10.1177/14761270211012021> [[Crossref](#)] [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
3. Bartunek, J. M., Rousseau, D. M., Rudolph, J. W., & DePalma, J. A. (2006). On the receiving end. *The Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, *42*(2), 182–206. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021886305285455> [[Crossref](#)] [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
4. Christianson, M. K. (2019). More and less effective updating: The role of trajectory management in making sense again. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *64*(1), 45–86. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0001839217750856> [[Crossref](#)] [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
5. Colville, I., Brown, A. D., & Pye, A. (2012). Simplicity: Sensemaking, organizing and storytelling for our time. *Human Relations*, *65*(1), 5–15. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726711425617> [[Crossref](#)] [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
6. Colville, I., Hennestad, B., & Thoner, K. (2014). Organizing, changing and learning: A sensemaking perspective on an ongoing ‘soap story’. *Management Learning*, *45*(2), 216–234. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507612473710> [[Crossref](#)] [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
7. Colville, I., Pye, A., & Brown, A. D. (2016). Sensemaking processes and Weickarious learning. *Management Learning*, *47*(1), 3–13. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507615616542> [[Crossref](#)] [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
8. Cooren, F., & Fairhurst, G. T. (2004). Speech timing and spacing: The phenomenon of organizational closure. *Organization*, *11*(6), 793–824. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508404047252> [[Crossref](#)] [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
9. Cornelissen, J. P., Mantere, S., & Vaara, E. (2014). The contraction of meaning: The combined effect of communication, emotions, and materiality on sensemaking in the Stockwell shooting. *Journal of Management Studies*, *51*(5), 699–736. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12073> [[Crossref](#)] [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
10. Cristofaro, M. (2022). Organizational sensemaking: A systematic review and a co-evolutionary model. *European Management Journal*, *40*(3), 393–405. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2021.07.003> [[Crossref](#)] [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
11. Cunliffe, A., & Coupland, C. (2012). From hero to villain to hero: Making experience sensible through embodied narrative sensemaking. *Human Relations*, *65*(1), 63–88. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726711424321> [[Crossref](#)] [[Web of Science ®](#)], [[Google Scholar](#)]
12. Czarniawska, B. (2007). *Shadowing and other techniques for doing field studies in modern societies*. Liber AB. [[Google Scholar](#)]

13. Ecoff, L., & Thomason, T. (2009). Moving into a new hospital: Strategies for success. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, *39*(12), 499–503. <https://doi.org/10.1097/NNA.0b013e3181c17eec> [Crossref] [PubMed] [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
14. Eisenhardt, K. M. (2021). What is the Eisenhardt method, really? *Strategic Organization*, *19*(1), 147–160. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127020982866> [Crossref] [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
15. Elmholdt, K. T., Clausen, R. T. J., & Madsen, M. T. (2018). Seductive atmospheres: Using tools to effectuate spaces for leadership development. *Journal of Change Management*, *18*(4), 257–280. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14697017.2018.1453856> [Taylor & Francis Online] [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
16. Emerson, R. M., Fretz, R. I., & Shaw, L. L. (1995). *Writing ethnographic fieldnotes*. University of Chicago Press. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
17. Emirbayer, M., & Mische, A. (1998). What is agency? *American Journal of Sociology*, *103*(4), 962–1023. <https://doi.org/10.1086/231294> [Crossref] [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
18. Gephart, R. P., Topal, C., & Zhang, Z. (2010). Future-oriented sensemaking: Temporalities and institutional legitimization. In T. Hernes, & S. Maitlis (Eds.), *Process, sensemaking and organizing* (pp. 275–311). Oxford University Press. [Crossref], [Google Scholar]
19. Hindmarsh, J., & Pilnick, A. (2007). Knowing bodies at work: Embodiment and ephemeral teamwork in anaesthesia. *Organization Studies*, *28*(9), 1395–1416. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840607068258> [Crossref] [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
20. Ilgen, J. S., Sherbino, J., & Cook, D. A. (2013). Technology-enhanced simulation in emergency medicine: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, *20*(2), 117–127. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.12076> [Crossref] [PubMed] [Web of Science ®], [Google Scholar]
21. Inrona, L. D. (2019). On the making of sense in sensemaking: Decentred sensemaking in the meshwork of life. *Organization Studies*, *40*(5), 745–764. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840618765579> [Crossref] [Web of Science ®],